Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/528,014	BARANY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>BJ_Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Noreen Connolly</u> .	(4)	-
Date of Interview: 12 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
		·
Claims discussed: 18-21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
•		
M		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner informed Ms Connolly that the method claims, in view of the response and declaration are in condition for allowance. The examiner requested permission to cancel the kit claims to thereby put the method claims in condition for allowance. The examiner noted that the kit claims were restricted in papers mailed 26 January 2001 and because the kit claims were not elected, they have not been examined. The examiner further noted that the Office Action Summary incorrectly identified claims 18-21 as rejected; however, this was merely a typographical error as evidenced by the body of the Office Action. Ms. Connolly contacted the Applicant and agreed to cancel without prejudice claims 18-21.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER